PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	207
To:	¬ PCT
IBM FRANCE	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF
Attn. Therias, Philippe Le Plan du Bois	THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND
F-06610 La GaudeRANCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERT	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
FRANCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERT	Y DEDT
	· PEF
0 8 OCT. 2004	1 1
307. 2004	(PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing
N. T. W. W.	(daylmonth/year)
Applicant's or agent's the reference (1) 0 8 /01/	06/10/2004
FR920030026/CB:	FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No.	International filing date
PCT/EP2004/050721	(day/month/year) 06/05/2004
Applicant	00/03/2004
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORA	
DOSINESS MACHINES CORPORA	TION
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international com-	
Authority have been established and are transmitted here	ch report and the written opinion of the international Searching
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the cla When? The time limit for filling such amendments is a	
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is no international Search Report; however, for more	Ims of the International Application (see Rule 46):
1211 Genova 20. Suitemeter 1	4 chemin des Colombettes escimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35
see the notes on the acc	Ompanying sheet.
Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written coloron of the	h report will be established and that the declaration under international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	onal fee(s) under Bute 40.0 m a continued herewith.
I the protest together with the desire w	
applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro	on transmitted to the International Bureau together with the otest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
, present the ap	plicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the second s	
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, it international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the international Bureau.	
of the completion of the technical preparations for internation	and and an indies sobis, I and subis.3, respectively.
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the	Written opinion of the international Secret Is- 1
international Bureau. The International Bureau will sasis on the international preliminary examination report has been or is to be a the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the prior	such comments to all designated Offices unless an
Within 19 months from the control to	
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of sor examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, wasts for entry let	
I will the fractional phase before those designated Ore.	and the priority date, perform the prescribed
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	(or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 10
See the Anney to Form DOT IN the	
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the appl Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO internet site.	icable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's
Name and mailing address of the international Searching Authority	Authorized officer
NL-2280 HV Rilswilk	
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Selwa Harris

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative instructions where the Treaty, in case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the olaims of the international application, it should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, of the companies of the claims under Article 19 assept where, a git mapping when the table to the purpose of provisional protection or has another of a mending the claims before infernational publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection or has another of a mending the claims before infernational publication.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever this limit express later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been movived on time if they are neceived by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (folial 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How?

Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required, in all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b));

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged:
- (ii) the claim is cancelled:
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers, claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added.
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding
- new claims; *Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added.* or *Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.*
- [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: 'Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.*

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filled and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, proterably by using the words "Statement under Article 15(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has afready been filed

II, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has stready been submitted, the applicant must preterably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the international Europeau, also file a copy of such amendments with the international Preliminary Examining

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/sected Offices, instead of, or

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (second sheet) (January 1994)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

FR9200		FOR FURTHER ACTION	as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
Internation	al application No.	International filing date (day/monti	(vear)	
PCT/EP	2004/050721	1	,,,,,	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
Applicant		06/05/2004		30/06/2003
This Inter	ATIONAL BUSINESS MA		ching Auth	nority and is transmitted to the applicant
	national Search Report consists			
[X	It is also accompanied by	of a total of she	ets.	
		a copy of each prior art document cit	ed in this	report.
a. W				is of the international application in the
	this Authority (Rul	search was carried out on the basis of 23.1(b)).	a transla	tion of the international application furnished to
b.	With regard to any nucleo	tide and/or amino acid sequence d	sclosed in	n the international application, see Box No. I.
2.		d unsearchable (See Box II).		PARTITION OF BOX 140. 1,
3.	Unity of invention is lack			
. With red	gard to the title,	,		
X	the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant		
	the text has been establish	ed by this Authority to read as follows		
	ard to the abstract,			
X	the text is approved as subm	itted by the applicant.		
Ц	me text has been established may, within one month from	f, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this A the date of mailing of this internationa	uthority a I search n	s it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant eport, submit comments to this Authority.
	rds to the drawings,			
a. the fig	ure of the drawings to be publ	shed with the abstract is Figure No.	2	
	as suggested by the	applicant.		
	as selected by this A	uthority, because the applicant failed	sennus d	t a figure
	as selected by this Ai	ithority, because this figure better cha	racterize	s the invention
b.	none of the figures is to be pu	blished with the abstract		mremell.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/EP2004/050721

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G06F17/30

According to International Palent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

inimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 GOGF

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, IBM-TDB, INSPEC

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document with increase	
	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
x	GB 2 366 037 A (IBM) 27 February 2002 (2002-02-27) abstract page 1, line 16 - page 1, line 29 page 2, line 6 - page 3, line 42 page 5, line 4 - page 5, line 30 page 6, line 5 - page 7, line 31 page 8, line 1 - page 10, line 35	1-9

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

- Special categories of cited documents: *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or
- other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 September 2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2260 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,

Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the internation.
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive slep when the document is taken alone
- Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive steep when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of making of the international search report

06/10/2004

Authorized officer

Boyadzhiev, Y

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

international Application No PCT/EP2004/050721

Retrology	Retrieved from the Justice of the Tolerant Page 184. The Page 184. The Page 185. The Page 186. The P	C.(Continu	Hatton) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	PCT/EP2004/050721
REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation" W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1999 (1999-07-27), XP002257669 Page 2, line 8 - page 5, line 27 Page 6, line 18 - page 5, line 27 Page 6, line 18 - page 6, line 52 Page 7, line 1 - page 7, line 7 Page 8, line 28 - page 8, line 42 A W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification" W3 CONSORTIUM, 'Online! 24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 .pdf.gz> 'retrieved on 2004-09-21! Page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 40 Page 186, line 23 - page 187, line 27 Page 189, line 28 - page 191, line 1 Page 192, line 4 - page 191, line 1 Page 192, line 4 - page 192, line 16 MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLETT-PACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract Page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46	REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation" W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1999 (1999-07-27), XP002257669 Page 2, line 8 - page 5, line 27 Page 6, line 18 - page 5, line 27 Page 6, line 18 - page 6, line 52 Page 7, line 1 - page 7, line 7 Page 8, line 28 - page 8, line 42 A W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification" W3 CONSORTIUM, 'Online! 24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 .pdf.gz> 'retrieved on 2004-09-21! Page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 40 Page 186, line 23 - page 187, line 27 Page 189, line 28 - page 191, line 1 Page 192, line 4 - page 191, line 1 Page 192, line 4 - page 192, line 16 MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLETT-PACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract Page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46	Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
page 2, 11ne 8 - page 5, line 9	page 2, 11ne 8 - page 5, line 9 page 5, line 18 - page 5, line 27 page 6, line 18 - page 5, line 27 page 6, line 14 3 - page 6, line 52 page 7, line 1 - page 7, line 7 page 8, line 28 - page 8, line 42 A W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification" W3 CONSORTIUM, 'Online! 1-9 24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 .pdf.gz> 'retrieved on 2004-09-21! page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 40 page 186, line 23 - page 187, line 27 page 189, line 28 - page 191, line 1 page 192, line 4 - page 192, line 16 MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLETT-ACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 page 5, line 7, respectively.	А	REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation" W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1000 (1000 07 07)	
A MA WEI-YING ET AL: A MEL-YING ET AL: A MEL-YING ET AL: A MA WEI-YING LA: A January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP00216331 abstract Base 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 Dage 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 Dage 189, line 28 - page 191, line 10 A MA WEI-YING ET AL: Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments* HEWLETT-ACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP00216331 abstract Dage 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 Dage 5, line 7, apper 2, line 46 Dage 5, line 7, apper 2, line 46 Dage 5, line 7, apper 2, line 46	24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 pdf,qz> 'retrieved on 2004-09-21! page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 40 page 186, line 23 - page 187, line 27 page 188, line 28 - page 191, line 1 page 192, line 4 page 192, line 16 A WA WEI-VING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous HEWLETT-ACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 page 5, line 7 - page 1 page	A	page 2, line 8 - page 5, line 9 page 5, line 18 - page 5, line 27 page 6, line 43 - page 6, line 52 page 7, line 1 - page 7, line 7 page 8, line 28 - page 8, line 42	
page 192, line 4 - page 191, line 16 MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLEIT-PACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46 page 5, line 7 - page 2, line 46	A MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLEIT-ACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XP002168331 abstract page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 34 - page 5, line 7 - page 2, line 46 page 5, line 7 - page 2, line 46		24 December 1999 (199-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/Te/REC-html40/html40 pdf.925 "retrieved on 2004-09-21! page 184, line 1 - page 185, line 20 age 186, line 20 age 20 age 186, line 20 age 20	1-9
page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46	page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46	A	page 192, line 4 - page 191, line 1 MA WEI-YING ET AL: "Framework for adaptive content delivery in heterogeneous network environments" HEWLETT-PACKARD LABORATORIES, 24 January 2000 (2000-01-24), pages 1-14, XPO0216831	1-9
			page 2, line 34 - page 2, line 46	
		27/JSA/230 (contin		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

	Informa	ATIONAL SEAR	mbers			Application No
Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family		2004/050721 Publication
GB 2366037	A	27-02-2002	CN	member(s)	F 1	date
				131041	5 A	29-08-2001
 210 (patent femily arrnex) (January						

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL S	EARCHING AL	JTHORITY		
To:		IBM FRANCE INTELLECTUAL I	PROPERTY DEPT	PCT
see for	m PCT/ISA/22	0 8 OCT. 2		RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
		ACTION		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
	L		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's fi see form PCT/ISA/	le reference 220		FOR FURTHE See paragraph 2	ER ACTION
International application PCT/EP2004/0507	21	International filing date 06.05.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.06.2003
International Patent Cla G06F17/30	ssification (IPC)	or both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant INTERNATIONAL	BUSINESS M	ACHINES CORPORA	TION	
This opinion or	ontains indica	tions relating to the foll	owing items:	
☐ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II	Basis of the o	plnion		
Box No. III	Priority			
☐ Box No. IV	I not establish	ment of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability
Box No. V	Reasoned eta	tement under D. L. ses		
☐ Box No. VI			supporting such st	atement
Box No. VII	Certain docum			
	Cortain derect	s in the international appl	lication	
FURTHER ACTIO	ONI ONI	vations on the internation	al application	
If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cho international Bure will not be so con	sternational pre the Internation oses an Author sau under Rule sidered.	ity other than this one to I 66.1 bis(b) that written op	pe the IPEA and the inions of this Intern	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the ational Searching Authority
if this opinion is, a submit to the IPE, months from the o whichever expires	s provided abo A a written repl late of mailing of later.	ove, considered to be a w y together, where approp of Form PCT/ISA/220 or b	ritten opinion of the riate, with amendme efore the expiration	IPEA, the applicant is invited to ents, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,
For further options				
For further details,				
me and mailing address	of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	
European Pa	tent Office - P P			Marie Lander
Tel +31 70 3	Hijswijk - Pays Bi		Boyadzhiev, Y	(a))
Fax: +31 70 3	40 - 3016		Felephone No. +31 70	340-4548

Boyadzhiev, Y Telephone No. +31 70 340-4548

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/050721

Box No. I Basis of the opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this tiem.
Inis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material:
□ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:
 contained in the international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/050721

 The following docur 	ent has not been furnished:
	arlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
☐ translation o	the earlier and 66.7(a)).
0	the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)
nevertheless been e	not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has tablished on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
- unis opinion has hee	n established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority cla
ming date indicated a	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
ming date indicated a	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
ming date indicated a	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
ming date indicated a	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
ming date indicated a	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
Additional observations, it Box No. V. Reasoned	bove is considered to be the relevant date. necessary:
Additional observations, it Box No. V. Reasoned	bove is considered to be the relevant date. necessary:
Box No. V Reasoned sindustrial applicability;	bove is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, it	bove is considered to be the relevant date. necessary:
Box No. V Reasoned sindustrial applicability;	bove is considered to be the relevant dele. necessary: tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or lations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. V Reasoned s Industrial applicability; o	bove is considered to be the relevant dele. necessary: tatement under Rule 43bis:1(a)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or liations and explanations supporting such statement Yes: Claims
Box No. V Reasoned sindustrial applicability; statement Novelty (N)	bove is considered to be the relevant dele. necessary: tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or lations and explanations supporting such statement
Box No. V Reasoned s Industrial applicability; o	bove is considered to be the relevant dele. necessary: tatement under Rule 43b/s:1(a)(f) with regard to novelty, inventive step or liations and explanations supporting such statement Yes: Claims No: Claims
Box No. V Reasoned sindustrial applicability; statement Novelty (N)	necessary: International papers of this opinion, the international papers of the principle of the international papers. International papers of the papers
Box No. V Reasoned s Industrial applicability; c Statement Novelty (N)	hove is considered to be the relevant date. necessary: tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or itations and explanations supporting such statement Yes: Claims No: Claims Yes: Claims
Box No. V Reasoned sindustrial applicability; statement Novelty (N)	necessary: International papers of this opinion, the international papers of the principle of the international papers. International papers of the papers

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 - D1: GB 2 366 037 A (IBM) 27 February 2002 (2002-02-27)
 - D2: REYNOLDS F ET AL: "Composite Capability/preference Profiles (CC/PP): A user side framework for content negotiation" W3 CONSORTIUM, 27 July 1999 (1999-07-27), XP002257669
 - D3: W3C: "HTML 4.01 Specification" W3 CONSORTIUM, [Online] 24 December 1999 (1999-12-24), pages 183-194, XP002297296 Retrieved from the Internet: URL:http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/html40 .pdf.gz> [retrieved on 2004-09-21]

I. Objections under Article 6 PCT

- 1. The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1 and 6 are not clear.
- 2. The expression "network display possibility(ies)" used in claim 1 makes the claim unclear because the term has no well-defined meaning in the art. According to the description (p.10 lines 20-22, "The ... network preferences...") device preferences are checked for compatibility with the network preferences, therefore for the rest of the examination procedure the term "network display possibilities" is interpreted as "network preferences".

The above objection also applies to the use of the same term in claim 6.

II. Objections under Article 33(3) PCT

1.The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1-9 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The problem to be solved by the present invention according to the description (p.4 lines 2-8, "It is ... itself", p.7 lines 9-11, "In the ... feature." and p.7 lines 17-24, "The ... installed.") may be regarded as how to optimize the presentation of a HTML V4 page which includes CSS on a web device having a browser not supporting CSS (HTML V3.2) while using the presentation features (the CSS) of the HTML V4 page to the maximum of the display capabilities of the web device.

Document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 and discloses :

- 2. A method executing on a computing system for allowing a device using a Web browser unable to support CSS, to request through a network and display Web HTML page including CSS while using all the presentation features of the included CSS that it can support through the network, (p.1 lines 3-6, "The present ... target device.", p.3 lines 1-8, "Unfortunately ... claim 1." and p.2 lines 6-9, "Once ... W3C.") said method comprising the steps of:
- receiving a request from the device for obtaining a Web HTML page; (p.5 lines 26-27, "the request \dots server 46.")
- identifying the requesting device, (p.6 lines 10-13, "The style sheet ... request.")
- retrieving the requested Web page from a Web server; (p.5 lines 33-34, "The parsing ... the requested document 56 ...")
- modifying the statements of the HTML page which are not related to CSS, reflecting the CSS rule list while taking into account the device and network possibilities as stated in the Request rule list; (p.8 lines 37-41, "After ... others." and p.10 lines 6-9, "Comparing ... deleted.")
- transmitting to the device the HTML page comprising the modified statements. (p.9 lines 41-43, "After ... display.")

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known from D1 in that:

- a) aggregating all the device and network display possibility information, and suppressing the contradictory information, the network display possibilities being of the highest priority, the result forming a Request rule list;
- According to the description (p.11 lines 17-21, "We ... sample.") the aggregation feature gives priority to the value of a presentation attribute defined in the network preferences over the value of the same attribute defined in the device preferences, when creating the Request rule list (the list of presentation attributes).
- b) aggregating all the CSS presentation definitions of the Web page while suppressing the conflicting ones in a resulting CSS rule list;
- According to the description (p.12 lines 19-25, "For ... list.") this feature determines which presentation definition to apply in case of embedded and external CSS rule.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/050721

The features "a)" and "b)" are not related to the problem to be solved as stated above, therefore cannot be considered as making an inventive contribution.

Furthermore features a) and b) are disclosed in D2 and D3. for feature a) see D2: (p.3 lines 11-12 and lines 29-30, "CC/PP .. agents.", and "Recognize ... etc.") and (p.8 lines 30-33 and lines 38-42, "When ... rule." and "It is ... document.") for feature b) see D3: (p.184, lines 34-36, "Cascading ... together.") and (p.185, lines 35-36, "HTML ... sheets.") and (p.192 lines 4-10. "If ... element.").

Therefore the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

The same reasoning applies, "mutatis mutandis", to the subject-matter of the corresponding independent claims 8 and 9 which are also considered not inventive.

Dependent claims 2-7 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).